18/02/2015 TUX - AUSTRIA

# A GLIMPSE OF THE EARLY UNIVERSE WITHOUT REAL LIGHT

# MERCEDES MARTÍN-BENITO

**IMAPP- RADBOUD UNIVERSITY NIJMEGEN** 

In Coll. with ANA BLASCO, LUIS J. GARAY, EDUARDO MARTÍN-MARTÍNEZ



# THIRD EFI WINTER CONFERENCE ON QUANTUM GRAVITY



# CONSEQUENCES IN RELATIVISTIC QUANTUM COMMUNICATION OF THE VIOLATION OF THE STRONG HUYGENS PRINCIPLE

In curved spacetimes, communication through massless fields is not confined to the light-cone, but there can be a leakage of information towards the inside of the light-cone.

Robert H. Jonsson, Eduardo Martín-Martinez, and Achim Kempf. Quantum Collect Calling. arXiv:1405.3988, 2014. THE RADIATION GREEN'S FUNCTION OF A MASSLESS FIELD HAS SUPPORT ONLY ON THE LIGHT-CONE

THE COMMUTATOR HAS SUPPORT ONLY ON THE LIGHT-CONE

$$\Box G(x, x') = -4\pi \delta_4(x, x') \qquad [\Phi(x), \Phi(x')] = \frac{1}{4\pi} G(x, x')$$

- True in 3+1 Flat spacetime

- Violated in general if there is curvature (unless there is conformal invariance)

[McLenaghan, Sonego, Faraoni, ...]

# CONSEQUENCES IN RELATIVISTIC QUANTUM COMMUNICATION IN COSMOLOGY

Propagation of information from the early Universe to the current era

# SET UP: SPACETIME GEOMETRY

SPATIALLY FLAT, OPEN, FRW SPACETIME:

$$ds^2 = a(\eta)^2 (-d\eta^2 + dr^2 + r^2 d\Omega^2)$$

 $\eta$  : conformal time  $a(\eta)$  : scale factor t : cosmological time,  $dt = a(\eta)d\eta$ units:  $\hbar = c = 1$ 

This geometry will be generated by:

a perfect fluid with a constant pressure-to-density ratio  $\left( \begin{array}{c} p = w 
ho \end{array} 
ight) \quad w > -1$ 



the scale factor evolves as

$$\left[a \propto \eta^{\frac{2}{3w+1}} \propto t^{\frac{2}{3(w+1)}}\right]$$

SET UP: TEST FIELD

# A TEST **MASSLESS SCALAR FIELD** QUANTIZED e.g. IN THE ADIABATIC VACUUM WILL BE COUPLED TO THIS BACKGROUND GEOMETRY



 $\Phi$ 

CONFORMAL COUPLING vs MINIMAL COUPLING

# SET UP: OBSERVERS: ALICE AND BOB

# COMOVING OBSERVERS: GEODESIC OBSERVERS THAT SEE ISOTROPY. ALICE (EARLY UNIVERSE OBSERVER) & BOB (LATE TIME OBSERVER)



Alice & Bob do not have direct access to the field.

They can perform measurements on it indirectly by locally coupling 'particle detectors'

Information is encoded in the quantum state of the field

# ALICE & BOB's DETECTOR MODEL



Models **light-matter interaction** when there is no exchange of orbital angular momentum

-Interaction Hamiltonian (interaction picture):

$$H_{I,\nu} = \lambda_{\nu} \chi_{\nu}(t) \mu_{\nu}(t) \Phi[\vec{x}_{\nu}, \eta(t)]$$

-Detectors:  $\nu = \{A, B\}$ 

### DETECTOR-FIELD INTERACTION HAMILTONIAN

 $H_{I,\nu} = \lambda_{\nu} \chi_{\nu}(t) \mu_{\nu}(t) \Phi[\vec{x}_{\nu}, \eta(t)]$ 

## DETECTOR-FIELD INTERACTION HAMILTONIAN



## DETECTOR-FIELD INTERACTION HAMILTONIAN





Influence of the presence of A on B — SIGNALING ESTIMATOR, S

How is **B's excitation probability** modulated by the interaction of A with the field? We need to look at the ([1,1] component of the) partial density matrix of B,  $\rho_B(T)$ 

-Initial state:  $\rho_0 = \rho_{A0} \otimes \rho_{B0} \otimes |0\rangle \langle 0|$   $\rho_{\nu 0} = |\psi_{\nu 0}\rangle \langle \psi_{\nu 0}|$ 

 $|\psi_{\nu 0}\rangle = \alpha_{\nu}|e_{\nu}\rangle + \beta_{\nu}|g_{\nu}\rangle$ 

-At time *T*:  $\rho(T) = U_T \rho_0 U_T^{\dagger}$ 

Taking  $\lambda_{\nu}$  small, perturbative expansion:  $U_T = \mathbb{I} + U_T^{(1)} + U_T^{(2)}$ 

$$\rho_B(T) \simeq \text{Tr}_{A,\Phi} \left[ \rho_0 + U_T^{(1)} \rho_0 + \rho_0 U_T^{(1)\dagger} + U_T^{(1)} \rho_0 U_T^{(1)\dagger} + U_T^{(2)} \rho_0 + \rho_0 U_T^{(2)\dagger} \right]$$

How is **B's excitation probability** modulated by the interaction of A with the field? We need to look at the ([1,1] component of the) partial density matrix of B,  $\rho_B(T)$ 

-Initial state:  $\rho_0 = \rho_{A0} \otimes \rho_{B0} \otimes |0\rangle \langle 0|$   $\rho_{\nu 0} = |\psi_{\nu 0}\rangle \langle \psi_{\nu 0}|$ 

 $|\psi_{\nu 0}\rangle = \alpha_{\nu}|e_{\nu}\rangle + \beta_{\nu}|g_{\nu}\rangle$ 

-At time T:  $\rho(T) = U_T \rho_0 U_T^{\dagger}$ 

Taking  $\lambda_{\nu}$  small, perturbative expansion:  $U_T = \mathbb{I} + U_T^{(1)} + U_T^{(2)}$ 

$$\rho_B(T) \simeq \rho_{B0} + \lambda_B^2 \left( \dots \right) + \lambda_A \lambda_B \left( \sum_{\nu \to \infty} \right) + \mathcal{O}(\lambda_{\nu}^4)$$

 $S = \lambda_A \lambda_B S_2 + \mathcal{O}(\lambda_\nu^4)$ 

$$S = \lambda_A \lambda_B S_2 + \mathcal{O}(\lambda_{\nu}^4) \qquad \text{(INDEPENDENT OF STATE OF }\Phi\text{)}$$

$$4 \int dt \int dt' \chi_A(t) \chi_B(t') \operatorname{Re}(\alpha_A^* \beta_A e^{i\Omega_A t}) \operatorname{Re}(\alpha_B^* \beta_B e^{i\Omega_B t'} [\Phi(\vec{x}_A, t), \Phi(\vec{x}_B, t']]$$

 $S_2 =$ 

$$S = \lambda_A \lambda_B S_2 + \mathcal{O}(\lambda_\nu^4) \qquad (\text{INDEPENDENT OF STATE OF } \Phi)$$

$$S_2 = 4 \int dt \int dt' \chi_A(t) \chi_B(t') \operatorname{Re}(\alpha_A^* \beta_A e^{\mathrm{i}\Omega_A t}) \operatorname{Re}(\alpha_B^* \beta_B e^{\mathrm{i}\Omega_B t'} [\Phi(\vec{x}_A, t), \Phi(\vec{x}_B, t'])$$

$$(\text{ONFORMAL COUPLING} \qquad [\Phi(\vec{x}_A, t), \Phi(\vec{x}_B, t')] = \frac{\mathrm{i}}{4\pi} \frac{\delta(\Delta \eta + R) - \delta(\Delta \eta - R)}{a(t)a(t')R}$$

$$\Delta \eta = \eta(t) - \eta(t')$$
$$R = \parallel \vec{x}_A - \vec{x}_B \parallel$$



## CONFORMAL INVARIANCE NO VIOLATION OF STRONG HUYGENS PRINCIPLE



# BUT...WHAT HAPPENS IF WE CONSIDER MINIMAL COUPLING?

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{MATTER DOMINATED} & & & w = 0 \\ \text{UNIVERSE} & & & \alpha = 3/2 \end{array} & & a \propto \eta^2 \propto t^{2/3} \\ \\ & & J_{3/2}(k\eta) = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi k\eta}} \left[ -\cos(k\eta) + \frac{\sin(k\eta)}{k\eta} \right] \\ \\ & & Y_{3/2}(k\eta) = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi k\eta}} \left[ -\sin(k\eta) + \frac{\cos(k\eta)}{k\eta} \right] \end{array}$$

$$\begin{split} \left[\Phi(\vec{x}_{A},t),\Phi(\vec{x}_{B},t')\right] &= \mathrm{i}\frac{\theta(-\Delta\eta) - \theta(\Delta\eta)}{\pi^{2}a(t)a(t')R} \int_{0}^{\infty} dk \sin(kR)g_{\alpha}(\eta(t),\eta(t'),k) \\ g_{\alpha}(\eta,\eta',k) &= \sqrt{\frac{\eta}{\eta'}} \frac{J_{\alpha}(k\eta)Y_{\alpha}(k\eta') - Y_{\alpha}(k\eta)J_{\alpha}(k\eta')}{Y_{\alpha}(k\eta')\left[J_{\alpha-1}(k\eta') - J_{\alpha+1}(k\eta')\right] - J_{\alpha}(k\eta')\left[Y_{\alpha-1}(k\eta') - Y_{\alpha+1}(k\eta')\right]} \\ J_{\alpha},Y_{\alpha} \quad \mathsf{BESSEL} \text{ FUNCTIONS} \qquad \alpha = \frac{3-3w}{6w+2} \end{split}$$

$$\begin{array}{l} \text{MATTER DOMINATED} \\ \text{UNIVERSE} \end{array} \longrightarrow \begin{array}{l} w = 0 \\ \alpha = 3/2 \end{array} \longrightarrow \begin{array}{l} a \propto \eta^2 \propto t^{2/3} \end{array}$$
$$[\Phi(\vec{x}_A, t), \Phi(\vec{x}_B, t')] = \frac{\mathrm{i}}{4\pi} \left[ \frac{\delta(\Delta \eta + R) - \delta(\Delta \eta - R)}{a(t)a(t')R} + \frac{\theta(-\Delta \eta - R) - \theta(\Delta \eta - R)}{a(t)a(t')\eta(t)\eta(t')} \right] \end{array}$$



$$\left[\Phi(\vec{x}_A, t), \Phi(\vec{x}_B, t')\right] = \frac{\mathrm{i}}{4\pi} \left[\frac{\delta(\Delta \eta + R) - \delta(\Delta \eta - R)}{a(t)a(t')R} + \frac{\theta(-\Delta \eta - R) - \theta(\Delta \eta - R)}{a(t)a(t')\eta(t)\eta(t')}\right]$$





 $\Delta \eta = \eta(t) - \eta(t')$ 

 $R = \parallel \vec{x}_A - \vec{x}_B \parallel$ 

#### MINIMAL COUPLING & MATTER DOMINATED UNIVERSE

$$S = \lambda_A \lambda_B S_2 + \mathcal{O}(\lambda_\nu^4)$$

$$S_2 = 4 \int dt \int dt' \chi_A(t) \chi_B(t') \operatorname{Re}(\alpha_A^* \beta_A e^{i\Omega_A t}) \operatorname{Re}(\alpha_B^* \beta_B e^{i\Omega_B t'} [\Phi(\vec{x}_A, t), \Phi(\vec{x}_B, t'])$$

$$[\Phi(\vec{x}_A, t), \Phi(\vec{x}_B, t')] = \frac{i}{4\pi} \left[ \frac{\delta(\Delta \eta + R) - \delta(\Delta \eta - R)}{a(t)a(t')R} + \frac{\theta(-\Delta \eta - R) - \theta(\Delta \eta - R)}{a(t)a(t')\eta(t)\eta(t')} \right]$$

 $S_2$  HAS ANALYTICAL EXPRESSION FOR  $\Omega_{\nu} = 0$ 

(e.g. electron-flip transitions)

To obtain a lower bound to the channel capacity, we use a simple **COMMUNICATION PROTOCOL:** 

Alice encodes "1" by coupling her detector A to the field, and "0" by not coupling it.

Later Bob switches on B and measures its energy. If B is excited, Bob interprets a "1", and a "0" otherwise.

$$C \simeq \lambda_A^2 \lambda_B^2 \frac{2}{\ln 2} \left( \frac{S_2}{4|\alpha_B||\beta_B|} \right)^2 + \mathcal{O}(\lambda_\nu^6)$$



(noisy asymetric binary channel)

# A&B **CAUSAL** RELATIONSHIPS



$$\eta_{i\nu} \equiv \eta(T_{i\nu})$$
$$\eta_{f\nu} \equiv \eta(T_{f\nu})$$

## VARIATION WITH THE SPATIAL SEPARATION BETWEEN ALICE AND BOB



$$|\psi_{\nu 0}\rangle = \alpha_{\nu}|e_{\nu}\rangle + \beta_{\nu}|g_{\nu}\rangle$$
$$|\alpha_{A}| = |\beta_{A}| = 1/\sqrt{2}$$
$$\arg(\alpha_{A}) - \arg(\beta_{A}) = \pi$$
$$\arg(\alpha_{B}) - \arg(\beta_{B}) = \pi/2$$

$$T_{fA} - T_{iA} = T_{fB} - T_{iB} = \Delta$$
$$T_{iA} = \Delta/30 \qquad T_{iB} - 10\Delta$$

### VARIATION WITH THE SPATIAL SEPARATION BETWEEN ALICE AND BOB



$$|\psi_{\nu 0}\rangle = \alpha_{\nu}|e_{\nu}\rangle + \beta_{\nu}|g_{\nu}\rangle$$
$$|\alpha_{A}| = |\beta_{A}| = 1/\sqrt{2}$$
$$\arg(\alpha_{A}) - \arg(\beta_{A}) = \pi$$
$$\arg(\alpha_{B}) - \arg(\beta_{B}) = \pi/2$$

$$T_{fA} - T_{iA} = T_{fB} - T_{iB} = \Delta$$
  
 $T_{iA} = \Delta/30$   $T_{iB} = 10\Delta$ 

 $I_{iB}$ 

 $I_{iA}$ 

## VARIATION WITH THE TEMPORAL SEPARATION BETWEEN ALICE AND BOB



$$|\psi_{\nu 0}\rangle = \alpha_{\nu} |e_{\nu}\rangle + \beta_{\nu} |g_{\nu}\rangle$$
$$|\alpha_{A}| = |\beta_{A}| = 1/\sqrt{2}$$
$$\arg(\alpha_{A}) - \arg(\beta_{A}) = \pi$$
$$\arg(\alpha_{B}) - \arg(\beta_{B}) = \pi/2$$

$$T_{fA} - T_{iA} = T_{fB} - T_{iB} = \Delta$$
  
 $T_{iA} = \Delta/30$   $R = \Delta/10$ 

#### VARIATION WITH THE TEMPORAL SEPARATION BETWEEN ALICE AND BOB



## VARIATION WITH THE TEMPORAL SEPARATION BETWEEN ALICE AND BOB



$$S_2 \propto \ln\left(\frac{\eta_{fA}}{\eta_{iA}}\right) \ln\left(\frac{\eta_{fB}}{\eta_{iB}}\right)$$
$$\eta_{iB} = \eta(T_{iB})$$

compensate decay with distributed detectors



## THE ELECTROMAGNETIC TENSOR $F_{\mu\nu}$ IS CONFORMALLY INVARIANT

### → IT DOES NOT VIOLATE STRONG HUYGENS PRINCIPLE



# THE ELECTROMAGNETIC TENSOR $F_{\mu\nu}$ IS CONFORMALLY INVARIANT

## → IT DOES NOT VIOLATE STRONG HUYGENS PRINCIPLE

# BUT

# THE ELECTROMAGNETIC POTENTIAL $A_{\mu}$ does violate it

Charged currents couple to  $A_{\mu}$ . Electromagnetic **antennas will see** the strong Huygens principle **violation** in the same fashion they see e.g. the Aharonov-Bohm effect or Casimir forces.

# Conclusions

All events that generate light signals also generate timelike signals (not mediated by massless quanta exchange), that decay slower.

 $\checkmark$ 

For a matter dominated universe we find that these signals do not decay with the spatial separation to the source. Temporal decay can be compensated by deploying a network of receivers inside the light-cone.

We particularize the discussion to a concrete channel as a mere example to illustrate the non-decaying behaviour of the information capacity.

 $\checkmark$ 

Inflationary phenomena, early universe physics, primordial decouplings, etc, will also leave a timeline echo on top of the light signals that we receive from them.

# OUR RESULTS MAY PERHAPS INSPIRE NOVEL WAYS TO LOOK AT THE EARLY UNIVERSE VIA THE TIMELIKE SIGNALS



